arXiv:1408.0639v1 [math.CO] 4 Aug 2014

On two conjectures on sum of the powers of signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph

F. Ashraf

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-83111, Iran
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph and $Q(G)$ be the signless Laplacian matrix of G. Let $S_\alpha(G)$ be the sum of the α-th powers of the nonzero eigenvalues of $Q(G)$. We disprove two conjectures by You and Yang on the extremal values of $S_\alpha(G)$ among bipartite graphs and among graphs with bounded connectivity.

AMS Classification: 05C50
Keywords: Signless Laplacian eigenvalues of graph

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $d(v)$, is the number of neighbors of v. The *adjacency matrix* of G is an $n \times n$ matrix $A(G)$ whose (i, j) entry is 1 if v_i and v_j are adjacent and zero otherwise. The *signless Laplacian matrix* of G is the matrx $Q(G) = A(G) + D(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix with $d(v_1), \ldots, d(v_n)$ on its main diagonal. It is well-known that $Q(G)$ are positive semidefinite and so its eigenvalues are nonnegative real numbers. The multiplicity of zero eigenvalue for $Q(S)$ is equal to the number of bipartite connected components of G. The eigenvalues of $Q(G)$ are called the *signless Laplacian eigenvalues* of G and are denoted by $q_1(G), \ldots, q_n(G)$. We drop G from the notation when there is no danger of confusion. We denote the complete graph on n vertices by K_n and the complete bipartite graph with parts with r and s vertices by $K_{r,s}$. The (vertex) connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a

E-mail Address: firouzeh_ashraf@yahoo.com

connected graph G is the minimum number of vertices of G whose deletion disconnects G. It is conventional to define $\kappa(K_n) = n-1$. For two graphs G and H, the *join* of them denoted by $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained from disjoint union of G and H by adding edges joining every vertex of G to every vertex of H. We also denote the number of edges of G by $e(G)$.

For a graph G, let $q_1(G), \ldots, q_r(G)$ be all the nonzero signless Laplacian eigenvalues of G. You and Yang [2] studied the parameter

$$S_\alpha(G) := q_1(G)^\alpha + \cdots + q_r(G)^\alpha.$$

Among other things, they obtained the following two results.

Theorem 1. ([2]) *Let G be a connected bipartite graph with n vertices and $\alpha \leq 1$.*

(i) *If $\alpha < 0$, then $S_\alpha(G) \geq n^\alpha + (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)\lceil n/2 \rceil^\alpha + (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor^\alpha$, with equality if and only if $G = K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$.*

(ii) *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then $S_\alpha(G) \leq n^\alpha + (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)\lceil n/2 \rceil^\alpha + (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor^\alpha$, with equality if and only if $G = K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$.*

Theorem 2. ([2]) *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and $\kappa(G) \leq k$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then $S_\alpha(G) \leq b_\alpha(n,k)$ where*

$$b_\alpha(n,k) = k(n-2)^\alpha + (n-k-2)(n-3)^\alpha + \left(n - 2 + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-2n)^2 + 16(k-n+1)}\right)^\alpha + \left(n - 2 + \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-2n)^2 + 16(k-n+1)}\right)^\alpha.$$

The equality holds if and only if $G = K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$.

For the unsettled values of α in Theorems 1 and 2, they made the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 3. ([2]) *Let G be a bipartite graph with n vertices. If $\alpha > 1$, then*

$$S_\alpha(G) \leq n^\alpha + (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)\lceil n/2 \rceil^\alpha + (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor^\alpha,$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$.

Conjecture 4. ([2]) *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $\kappa(G) \leq k$.*

(i) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $S_\alpha(G) \leq b_\alpha(n,k)$ with equality if and only if $G = K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$.*

(ii) *If G is connected and $\alpha < 0$, then $S_\alpha(G) \geq b_\alpha(n,k)$ with equality if and only if $G = K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$.*

The purpose of this paper is to study these two conjectures. We prove the following results in this regard:

- For $\alpha > 0$, we determine
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\max\{S_\alpha(G) \mid G \text{ is a bipartite graph with } n \text{ vertices}\}}{n^{\alpha+1}}$$
from which it follows that Conjecture 3 is not true for $\alpha > 3$;
- Conjecture 3 is true for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$;
- Conjecture 4 is not true for $\alpha < -1$.

The validity of Conjecture 4 for $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ remains open.

2 Bipartite graphs

In this section we study the asymptotic behavior of the function

$$\zeta(n,\alpha) := \max\{S_\alpha(G) \mid G \text{ is a bipartite graph with } n \text{ vertices}\},$$

for $\alpha > 0$. We start with the following well-known fact.

Lemma 5. ([1, p. 222]) *Let G be a graph and e be an edge of that. Then the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of G and $G' = G - e$ interlace:*

$$q_1(G) \geq q_1(G') \geq q_2(G) \geq q_2(G') \geq \cdots \geq q_n(G) \geq q_n(G').$$

The following lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 6.

(i) *The signless Laplacian eigenvalues of K_n are $2n-2$ with multiplicity 1 and $n-2$ with multiplicity $n-1$.*

(ii) *The signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_{r,s}$ are $r+s$ with multiplicity 1, r with multiplicity $s-1$, s with multiplicity $r-1$, and 0 with multiplicity 1.*

For the next theorem, we need Taylor Theorem which we recall here. If the k-th derivative of a real function f exists on an interval containing a and $a+\epsilon$, then there exists some η between a and $a+\epsilon$ such that

$$f(a+\epsilon) = f(a) + f'(a)\epsilon + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}\epsilon^2 + \cdots + \frac{f^{(k-1)}(a)}{(k-1)!}\epsilon^{k-1} + \frac{f^{(k)}(\eta)}{k!}\epsilon^k.$$

In the next theorem, we determine the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta(n,\alpha)$. Noting that the upper bound given in Conjecture 3 is $2^{-\alpha}n^{\alpha+1}+O(n^\alpha)$, the next theorem disproves Conjecture 3 for $\alpha > 3$.

Theorem 7. *For any $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\zeta(n,\alpha)}{n^{\alpha+1}} = p(\alpha)$$

where

$$p(\alpha) = \max\{x(1-x)^\alpha + (1-x)x^\alpha \mid 0 \le x \le 1\}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\alpha > 3$, we have $p(\alpha) > 2^{-\alpha}$.

Proof. For a bipartite graph G with parts of sizes r and $n-r$, by Lemma 5, we have $S_\alpha(G) \le S_\alpha(K_{r,n-r})$. Therefore the maximum occurs for some $K_{r,n-r}$, i.e. for any n there exists some r for which $\zeta(n,\alpha) = S_\alpha(K_{r,n-r})$. We now fix α and let

$$f(x) := x(1-x)^\alpha + (1-x)x^\alpha.$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(K_{r,n-r}) &= n^\alpha + (r-1)(n-r)^\alpha + (n-r-1)r^\alpha \\ &= \left[\frac{r}{n}\left(1-\frac{r}{n}\right)^\alpha + \left(1-\frac{r}{n}\right)\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)^\alpha\right] n^{\alpha+1} + O(n^\alpha) \\ &= f\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) n^{\alpha+1} + O(n^\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It follows that for large enough n,

$$\frac{\zeta(n,\alpha)}{n^{\alpha+1}} \le p(\alpha) + o(1). \tag{2}$$

Now we choose $0 < b < 1$ so that $f(b) = p(\alpha)$. Let $r_n = \lfloor bn \rfloor$. From (1), for large enough n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta(n,\alpha)}{n^{\alpha+1}} &\ge \frac{S_\alpha(K_{r_n,n-r_n})}{n^{\alpha+1}} \\ &\ge f\left(\frac{\lfloor bn \rfloor}{n}\right) + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Combining (2) and (3), and then taking the limit, shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\zeta(n,\alpha)/n^{\alpha+1}$ exists and equals to $p(\alpha)$.

For the second part of the theorem, we fix $\alpha > 3$. Not that since $\alpha > 3$, we have $\binom{\alpha}{2} - \alpha > 0$. So we may choose $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ small enough so that

$$\left[\binom{\alpha}{2} - \alpha\right]\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} - 2\binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^4 > 0. \tag{4}$$

We will show that by this choice of ϵ, one has $f(1/2+\epsilon) > f(1/2) = 2^{-\alpha}$, and consequently $p(\alpha) > 2^{-\alpha}$.

By applying Taylor Theorem for $f(x)$ with $k=3$ and $a=1/2$, there exit η_1, η_2 with $\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon < \eta_1 < \frac{1}{2} < \eta_2 < \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon$ such that

$$(1/2-\epsilon)^\alpha = (1/2)^\alpha - \alpha\epsilon(1/2)^{\alpha-1} + \binom{\alpha}{2}\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} - \binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^3\eta_1^{\alpha-3},$$
$$(1/2+\epsilon)^\alpha = (1/2)^\alpha + \alpha\epsilon(1/2)^{\alpha-1} + \binom{\alpha}{2}\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} + \binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^3\eta_2^{\alpha-3}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(1/2+\epsilon) &= (1/2+\epsilon)(1/2-\epsilon)^\alpha + (1/2-\epsilon)(1/2+\epsilon)^\alpha \\ &= (1/2)^\alpha + \binom{\alpha}{2}\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} + \binom{\alpha}{3}\frac{\epsilon^3}{2}(\eta_2^{\alpha-3} - \eta_1^{\alpha-3}) - 2\alpha\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-1} - \binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^4(\eta_1^{\alpha-3} + \eta_2^{\alpha-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{\alpha}{2}\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} - 2\alpha\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-1} - \binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^4(\eta_1^{\alpha-3} + \eta_2^{\alpha-3}) \\ &\qquad = \left[\binom{\alpha}{2} - \alpha\right]\epsilon^2(1/2)^{\alpha-2} - \binom{\alpha}{3}\epsilon^4(\eta_1^{\alpha-3} + \eta_2^{\alpha-3}). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

As $\eta_1^{\alpha-3} + \eta_2^{\alpha-3} < 2$, from (4) it follows that the right side of (5) is positive. This implies that $f(1/2+\epsilon) > (1/2)^\alpha$, as desired. □

Theorem 8. *Conjecture* 3 *is true for* $1 \le \alpha \le 3$.

Proof. Let

$$g(x) := (x-1)(n-x)^\alpha + (n-x-1)x^\alpha.$$

Then $S_\alpha(K_{r,n-r}) = n^\alpha + g(r)$. We prove the theorem by showing that for $1 \le \alpha \le 3$ and for any $1 \le r \le n-1$, $g(r) \le g(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$. Since $g(x) = g(n-x)$, we may assume that $1 \le x \le n/2$. So it suffices to show that g is increasing on the interval $0 < x \le n/2$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= (n-x)^\alpha - \alpha(x-1)(n-x)^{\alpha-1} - x^\alpha + \alpha(n-x-1)x^{\alpha-1} \\ &= x^\alpha\left[\left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right)^\alpha - \alpha\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right)^{\alpha-1} - 1 + \alpha\left(\frac{n}{x}-1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n/x \ge 2$, we see $\frac{n}{x} - 1 - \frac{1}{x} \ge \left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right)$.

First assume that $1 < \alpha \le 2$. So $\left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right) \ge \left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right)^{\alpha-1}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{n}{x} - 1 - \frac{1}{x} \ge \left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\left(\frac{n}{x}-1\right)^{\alpha-1}.$$

This together with $(n/x-1)^{\alpha} \geq 1$ imply that $g'(x) \geq 0$ for $0 < x \leq n/2$ and so g is increasing.

Next, assume that $2 < \alpha \leq 3$. We have

$$g''(x) = -2\alpha \left[(n-x)^{\alpha-1} + x^{\alpha-1}\right] + \alpha(\alpha-1)\left[(x-1)(n-x)^{\alpha-2} + (n-x-1)x^{\alpha-2}\right].$$

Note that since $0 < x \leq n/2$, $(n-x)^{\alpha-2}(n-2x+1) > x^{\alpha-2}(n-2x-1)$ which implies that

$$(n-x)^{\alpha-1} - (x-1)(n-x)^{\alpha-2} > (n-x-1)x^{\alpha-2} - x^{\alpha-1}.$$

So we have

$$(n-x)^{\alpha-1} + x^{\alpha-1} > (x-1)(n-x)^{\alpha-2} + (n-x-1)x^{\alpha-2}.$$

Since $1 < \alpha \leq 3$, $2\alpha > \alpha(\alpha-1)$ and so it follows that $g''(x) < 0$ for $0 < x \leq n/2$. Hence g' is decreasing, and so $g'(x) \geq g'(n/2) = 0$, and again we are done. □

3 Graphs with bounded connectivity

In this section we consider $S_\alpha(G)$ for graphs G with bounded connectivity and disprove Conjecture 4 for $\alpha < -1$. Let G be an n-vertex graph with $\kappa(G) \leq k$. Then G must be a subgraph of one of the graphs $K_k \vee (K_r \cup K_{n-k-r})$ for some $r = 1, \ldots, \lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor$. In view of Lemma 5, it follows that (as observed in [2]) the extremal values of $S_\alpha(G)$ correspond to one of the graphs $K_k \vee (K_r \cup K_{n-k-r})$ for some $r \in \{1, \ldots, \lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor\}$. We first compute the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of these graphs.

For a graph G, consider a partition $P = \{V_1, \ldots, V_m\}$ of $V(G)$. The partition of P is *equitable* if each submatrix Q_{ij} of $Q(G)$ formed by the rows of V_i and the columns of V_j has constant row sums r_{ij}. The $m \times m$ matrix $R = (r_{ij})$ is called the *quotient matrix* of $Q(G)$ with respect to P. The proof of the following theorem is similar to the one given in [1, p. 187] where a similar result is presented for Laplacian matrix.

Lemma 9. *Any eigenvalue of the quotient matrix R is an eigenvalue of $Q(G)$.*

Lemma 10. *The signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_k \vee (K_r \cup K_{n-k-r})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq r \leq (n-k)/2$ are*

$$(n-2)^{[k]},\ (k+r-2)^{[r-1]},\ (n-r-2)^{[n-k-r-1]},\ n-2+\frac{k}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-2n)^2 + 16r(k-n+r)},$$

where the exponents indicate multiplicities.

Proof. Let $G = K_k \vee (K_r \cup K_{n-k-r})$. The partition of $V(G)$ into the vertex sets of the subgraphs K_k, K_r, K_{n-k-r} forms an equitable partition of $Q(G)$. The corresponding quotient matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} n+k-2 & r & n-k-r \\ k & 2r+k-2 & 0 \\ k & 0 & 2(n-r-1)-k \end{pmatrix},$$

with eigenvalues $n-2$, $n-2+\frac{k}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-2n)^2+16r(k-n+r)}$.

To determine the rest of the eigenvalues, note that in the matrices $Q(G)-(n-2)I$, $Q(G)-(k+r-2)I$ and $Q(G)-(n-r-2)I$, the rows corresponding to the vertices of K_k, K_r and K_{n-k-r}, respectively, are identical. It follows that the nullities of the matrices $Q(G)-(n-2)I$, $Q(G)-(k+r-2)I$ and $Q(G)-(n-r-2)I$, are at least $k-1$, $r-1$ and $n-k-r-1$, respectively. Therefore $n-2$, $k+r-2$ and $n-r-2$ are eigenvalues of $Q(G)$ with multiplicities at least $k-1$, $r-1$ and $n-k-r-1$, respectively. So far we have obtained $n-1$ eigenvalues of $Q(G)$. To determine the remaining eigenvalue we use the fact that the sum of all eigenvalues of $Q(G)$ equals $2e(G)$; it turns out that the remaining eigenvalue is also $n-2$. The proof is now complete. □

The next proposition disproves Conjecture 4 for $\alpha < -1$.

Proposition 11. *For any $\alpha < -1$, any positive integer k and for large enough n, there exist k-connected graphs G with n vertices such that $S_\alpha(G) < b_\alpha(n,k)$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(n-2+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(k-2n)^2+16(k-n+1)}\right)=k.$$

For $\alpha < -1$, the other terms of $b_\alpha(n,k)$ tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_\alpha(n,k) = k^\alpha.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 10, $S_\alpha\left(K_k \vee (K_{(n-k)/2} \cup K_{(n-k)/2})\right)$ equals to

$$k(n-2)^\alpha+\frac{1}{2}(n-k-2)(n+k-4)^\alpha+\left(n-2+\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4kn-3k^2}\right)^\alpha+\left(n-2+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4kn-3k^2}\right)^\alpha.$$

It is seen that for $\alpha < -1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_\alpha\left(K_k \vee (K_{(n-k)/2} \cup K_{(n-k)/2})\right) = 0.$$

This means that for any positive integer k and for large enough n,

$$S_\alpha\left(K_k \vee (K_{(n-k)/2} \cup K_{(n-k)/2})\right) < b_\alpha(n,k).$$

□

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Dr. B. Tayfeh-Rezaie for his comments on the manuscript.

References

[1] D.M. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, and S.K. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[2] L. You and J. Yang, Notes on the sum of powers of the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria* **117** (2014), 10 pp.